

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application N .	Applicant(s)
	10/005,737	DUBIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Peter A. Hruskoci	1724

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Peter A. Hruskoci. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Stephen M. De Klerk. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 9 December 2003

Time: \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*None*

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

*None*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*It was agreed to amend the specification as shown in the Examiner's Amendment to provide clear antecedent basis for claim 4 and for the sake of clarity and accuracy.*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)